1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS			
2	EASTERN DIVISION			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	١,)	Docket No. 09 CR 849	
4		{		
5	V	{	Chicago, Illinois November 3, 2009	
6	TAHAWWUR HUSSAIN RANA,	{	1:30 p.m.	
7	Defendant			
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE NAN NOLAN			
10	PRESENT:			
11		DANTEL I COLL THO		
12	For the Government:	DANIEL J. COLLINS VICTORIA J. PETERS Assistant United States Attorneys		
13		219 Sout	h Dearborn Street Illinois 60604	
14	For the Defendant: PATRICK W. BLEGEN JODI GARVEY Blegen & Garvey 53 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 1437 Chicago, Illinois 60604			
15			ARVEY	
16			t Jackson Boulevard	
17			1	
18	(TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING. PLEASE SUPPLY CORRECT SPEAKER IDENTIFICATION)			
19	TENSE SOLIE SOURCEST OF EMILE TELEVISION			
20	Court Reporter:	loie A	LaCorto	
21	ourt Reporter: Lois A. LaCorte 219 South Dearborn Room 1918 Chicago, Illinois 60604		h Dearborn Room 1918	
22		(312) 43:	5-5558	
23		·		
24				
25	,			
	·			

THE CLERK: 09 CR 849, United States v Rana.

MR. COLLINS: Good afternoon, your Honor, Dan Collins for the United States.

MS. PETERS: Good afternoon, your Honor, Vicki Peters on behalf of the United States.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BLEGEN: Good afternoon, your Honor, Patrick Blegen and Jodi Garvey on behalf of Mr. Rana, who is present in custody.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MALDONADO: Judge, good afternoon, Pretrial Services, Albert Maldonado.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you, Mr. Maldonado. Okay, good afternoon, everyone. Good afternoon, Mr. Rana.

DEFENDANT RANA: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Sir, you're here for your continued initial appearance. The government has moved for detention pending your trial in this matter. This morning I received the defendant's memorandum in support of Pretrial Services' recommendation for secured release, and about five minutes ago, I received the government's memorandum in support of motion for detention pending trial.

Mr. Blegen, did you receive a copy of the government's brief?

MR. BLEGEN: I did just a few minutes ago, Judge, maybe

at the same time you did or close to that.

THE COURT: Right, I did. So but for reading the briefs, are you both prepared to proceed today? Did you want to proceed, because one thought I just had is we could take an hour break and, Mr. Blegen, you could have time to see in the government's memorandum if there is anything you want to respond to or -- I'm kind of up to doing whatever you want to do here today.

MR. COLLINS: Judge, could Mr. Blegen and I have a quick moment?

THE COURT: Sure, sure, absolutely.

(Pause)

MR. BLEGEN: Judge, I guess the thought is, I mean, obviously Mr. Rana is in custody.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BLEGEN: And I don't want him to be in there any longer than need be.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BLEGEN: You had some questions that you wanted answers to the last time we were in court, and I and the government have gotten the answers to some of those so I would like to at the very least give you those answers today.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BLEGEN: But if we could maybe just reconvene in 10 minutes so I can have a chance to finish reading the

government's pleading.

THE COURT: Why don't you take -- I'm offering you definitely more time or if you want -- look, there are two parts to a bond hearing. One are the facts and one is the law. I think I know the law as well as anybody knows the law, but because I haven't really read the government's brief either, I don't know if there are facts in here too which I need to be concentrating on or if it is more the law, which is why I was suggesting we could take an hour. We can also put it over a couple days if you want. Whatever you want to do is fine with me.

MR. BLEGEN: Judge, can I just have a moment?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Pause)

MR. BLEGEN: Two issues, Judge. One, I would like to at least take -- can we just take a short break so I can finish reading the government's pleading?

THE COURT: Sure, sure, absolutely.

MR. BLEGEN: There are issues in there that I think, factual allegations made by the government that aren't set forth in the complaint so I need to look at those at least for a few minutes and then I can maybe have a little time to talk to Mr. Rana. If we could come back in 15 or 20 minutes, I think I can tell you how we would like to proceed.

THE COURT: That's just perfect. We will come back at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's 20 to, we will come back at 2:00, and then if 2:00. either of you have any questions or any factual issues that you want to bring up regardless if we're going away or we're even in a postponement, you can certainly bring those up. MR. BLEGEN: That's fine, thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Mr. Collins. MR. COLLINS: Well, I just know that one of the issues in terms of considering whether there is any conditions out there or whether there is sufficient security would be an analysis of the information that Mr. Blegen is putting together and there is still additional information that's needed, specifically title reports before we can have any type of consideration of that. THE COURT: And we're going to do that, of course. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I mean absolutely, we would have all of the THE COURT: information, okay? MR. COLLINS: Great. THE COURT: So don't worry on that. Let's figure out what you want to present to the court, okay, what anybody wants to present to the court. MR. BLEGEN: That's fine. We will be back here at 2:00. THE COURT: (Recess) THE CLERK: 09 CR 849, USA v Rana.

THE COURT: Okay, we're back on the record. So for the government, please?

MR. COLLINS: Dan Collins and Vicki Peters.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. And for Mr. Rana?

MR. BLEGEN: Patrick Blegen and Jodi Garvey.

THE COURT: Okay. And also for Pretrial?

MR. MALDONADO: Judge, Albert Maldonado.

THE COURT: Okay. So we took a break for each side to have a few moments to look at each other's memorandum that was submitted today, so Mr. Blegen, you received it the last, so what would you like to do? Tell me what you'd like to do.

MR. BLEGEN: Judge, I would like to come back, but I think we can address some of the issues that you had raised the last time we were here.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BLEGEN: So we don't have to do that again when we come back.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BLEGEN: But I am going to need some time to look at that, and if you will notice in the government's recent pleading they indicated that they want to file another pleading on the issue of whether that terrorism enhancement applies, which would affect the kind of sentence somebody charged with this sort of crime would be looking at if convicted. Obviously, we're nowhere near that yet, but -- and

my only request is if we set a new date, can we have a date for any filings regarding it?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BLEGEN: So that we don't run into the same issue again.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. Sure, sure. Why don't we take up the other issues first.

MR. BLEGEN: You had asked us to look into the MCC, the conditions of confinement there and answer some questions about that. And the government has spoken to the attorney at the MCC and so have I, and so if I say something that they think is wrong, I don't have a problem with them interrupting me. I took notes as best I could.

My understanding is that Dr. Rana is on the 11th floor, we are already knew that, in the administrative detention floor or I think they might call it a "Shoe," which is the place where people go who have committed some offense in the MCC, fighting or something like that, and you know, it's partially there for security, but also partially for punishment for something that they have done in the MCC.

So he is under, in my view, conditions that you know, he hasn't done anything in the MCC to warrant any punishment, but he is sort of on a floor that deals with those sorts of people. The reason he is there is because of the nature of the charges, but as those charges relate to the reaction that

other inmates might have.

So I believe the MCC told Ms. Peters that it was somewhat for his own protection or I guess it is for his own protection and so that condition is not going to change and he will almost certainly remain on the 11th floor.

MS. PETERS: That is what I was told, Judge, that it's -the "Shoe" is designed not just for people who are considered
to be risks because they have committed violent acts within
the MCC, but people who the MCC, based upon their assessment
of the nature of the charges against the inmate, could be at
risk.

THE COURT: All right. So what happens to one who is on the 11th floor, how are they treated differently than people who are in the general population?

MS. PETERS: Well, they have limited access to telephone calls to family members.

MR. BLEGEN: I'm sorry for interrupting, but I know the details of that. They're allowed to get to the phone that can be used for either social or legal calls once every 30 days.

MS. PETERS: If I can correct my understanding with respect to that, they have one social call a month. They have to put in a request -- they call it copout -- they have to put in a request or copout to the unit manager requesting a phone call to a family member. They're allowed one a month.

With respect to calls to legal counsel, it is not limited

but --

the MCC, that they do not limit the number of calls to legal counsel when you're in the "Shoe."

MR. BLEGEN: And, Judge, that's my understanding as well,

to one a month. I was told by Amy Standifer, the lawyer at

THE COURT: So that's one difference.

MR. BLEGEN: Many inmates call their lawyers from the regular phones because it takes time to set up a legal call. If you have something you need to talk about urgently, you don't really have time. He is, according to Ms. Standifer, permitted to file a copout to get a legal call, but his access to a phone to call his lawyer or his family or anyone else is limited to once every 30 days.

MS. PETERS: That's not my understanding. His calls to -- he can call his lawyer every two or three days if he gives a copout to his unit manager. The 30 day limit only applies to calls to family members, social calls.

MR. BLEGEN: I think we're saying the same thing except that he could use those social calls to call his lawyer under normal circumstances, which normal MCC inmates do. They often call on the recorded line that they use to call their family or friends or whomever.

MS. PETERS: I would agree with that.

MR. BLEGEN: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's difference number one.

Difference number two?

MR. BLEGEN: He is separated from the rest of the inmates, meaning no contact with other inmates and he is in his cell essentially 24 hours a day. He may, if he gets the opportunity, get an hour of exercise per day, but he is in there certainly 23 hours per day. He is handcuffed at any time that he is outside of his cell and there is a, what they call, including meeting with his lawyers, and there is what they call a 3-man hold, I think, which means that any time he goes anywhere, to the shower, to see his lawyer, he has to be moved by three people, one of whom has to be a lieutenant.

MS. PETERS: If I can address the handcuff issue just for a moment, Judge, Ms. Standifer said that normally the inmates who are in the "Shoe" are handcuffed with their wrists together in front of them. They recognize that that impedes the inmate's ability when he is meeting with his lawyer to look at documents, hold documents, so Ms. Standifer has said that when Mr. Rana meets with Mr. Blegen, they have agreed that they will, instead of having the traditional handcuffs where his wrists are attached to each other in front of his body, they would just attach his hands, his arms to a belly chain so that his hands would be free to hold documents and to read documents when he is meeting with Mr. Blegen.

THE COURT: Okay, next difference between how Mr. Rana as a pretrial person is being handled with other people who

are on general population?

MR. BLEGEN: Judge, I don't know the exact answer to this yet. I had left a message about it when I thought of it. He does have the opportunity for social visits, but I believe that they're through kind of a phone video system in separate rooms. I don't believe he is permitted contact visits, although I have to say that I'm not a hundred percent sure on that yet. But my experience with the 11th floor is that's how social visits work.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLEGEN: And I don't know whether this is a difference for other inmates or not, but there are still, there is at least one medical issue that Mr. Rana has that is not addressed. I was told by Ms. Standifer that with regard to being able to see an outside consultant regarding his shoulder, he needs the approval of the doctor in the MCC, which he does not have yet, and also the marshals have to approve it because they are the persons or the organization that would have to take him wherever it is. But Mr. Rana believes he needs a specialist to examine his shoulder, which is causing him a significant amount of pain.

MS. PETERS: Your Honor, that would be the procedure whether it's somebody who is in the "Shoe" or not with respect to getting medical attention.

MR. BLEGEN: And, Judge, I don't know whether this is --

this may be a difference that's obvious, but there is no access to newspapers, television, those sorts of things on the 11th floor, as there is in other parts of the jail.

THE COURT: What about the law library?

MR. BLEGEN: The law library, there is a law library. He has had one opportunity to visit in the time that he has been there since October 18th and my understanding is that he needs to put in a request to get to the law library. He has made multiple requests, he tells me, and has gotten there once.

THE COURT: When you were there, were you handcuffed, when you were in the library?

DEFENDANT RANA: I think the feet were, I think tied up, not the hands, but the volume was missing, the documentation, the case law which would you would normally acquire was not available. And they said you have to put in a request for that.

THE COURT: It appears from the complaint that there are tapes in this case. Now, are they going to allow on the 11th floor that materials are going to be brought into the 11th floor?

MS. PETERS: Yes, Judge, I talked to Ms. Standifer about that and digital evidence will be available to him on the 11th floor and he can also keep documentary evidence in his cell on the 11th floor unless, of course, there becomes too many piles of it and then it would be put in storage and would be made

available to him. But they do have the ability to let the inmate on the 11th floor have access to the digital evidence and play it.

THE COURT: So digital being both tape and the computer?

MS. PETERS: CDs, yes.

THE COURT: All right. So what do you suggest we do?

Both of you, what do you suggest we do with this?

MS. PETERS: Your Honor, I don't think there is any question but that Mr. Rana has to remain on the 11th floor. That's the MCC's call. It's a call that they make day in and day out. There is nothing particularly special about Dr. Rana, and I don't think any of us have the ability to overrule their decision about where his safety and the safety of the rest of the institution requires that the defendant be.

MR. BLEGEN: Judge, I would suggest that you release him on a secured bond as Pretrial has recommended and that will solve all the problems. But I'm not so sure that Ms. Peters is right that you can't order the MCC to do things because the bond statute itself gives you, you know, the authority to order that he have meaningful access to his attorney and I think there is case law that -- what really troubles me here is that he is on the punishment floor for not having done anything and that's what I think the biggest issue is, is that he is being treated the same as individuals who the MCC thinks have done something wrong. You know, they're taking away

1 their access to the social calls as a punishment so that the 2 person doesn't do whatever he did again, but Mr. Rana hasn't 3 done any of those things, and I think there is a remedy for 4 I haven't looked into the law on that yet, but I can. 5 And if we're going to file additional pleadings, I can address 6 that to you, but my hope is that he will be released on bond 7 and we won't have to deal with that issue. 8 THE COURT: Anybody want to say anything else about 9 that? 10 MS. PETERS: No, Judge. 11 THE COURT: So Mr. Blegen, how much time would you like? 12 MR. BLEGEN: Judge, there is just one other issue that I 13 wanted to address. 14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 MR. BLEGEN: I'm not sure if you asked us to look into 16 this or not, but the Pretrial Services report talks about a 17 couple of things. One is the location of Mr. Rana's expired 18 Pakistani passport. The government has that passport. It was 19 part of some search warrants that they executed, correct? 20 MR. COLLINS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: You have it? Okay, good. 22 MR. BLEGEN: And the other issue was the possibility of 23 an unreported asset on Western Avenue. 24 THE COURT: Right.

My understanding of the situation is that

25

MR. BLEGEN:

that was a property that Mr. Rana used to have in his name as well as a relative's name and the relative was a younger person whose mother had passed away. It has now, I believe, been taken out of Mr. Rana's name and it's solely in that other person's name. And we can, if you need documents to clear that up, I think we can probably get them, but my understanding is it was quit claimed to the younger relative sometime ago.

THE COURT: That's the one to Asia, that's the one?

MR. BLEGEN: That's the one on Western Avenue, Judge.

It's listed in the report.

THE COURT: Okay. So can you check recent quit claim filings, Mr. Maldonado?

MR. MALDONADO: I'll look into that, Judge.

MR. BLEGEN: And maybe if he can't find it, maybe we can try to get the documentation on it.

THE COURT: Okay. Any other factual?

MR. BLEGEN: Judge, the other thing is you will see in my pleading that we have gathered a significant number of people with what I consider to be a significant amount of property to post for Mr. Rana. And so that was one of the other issues that you wanted us to address and begin sending that stuff to the government, which we have done. We don't have, for example, the title reports for each property yet, but we're in the process of getting that.

THE COURT: And so -- I think what -- Mr. Maldonado says that he appreciated the fact that you had CC'd him on anything you're sending to Ms. Bissell, so he has all the information that you have sent to Ms. Bissell so far.

I don't think -- I guess I want to just say to you I think where I am right now, I don't think it's the number of any more properties, so I don't think you have to go running -- I don't think frankly, Mr. Blegen, I don't think that's the issue. So I don't think you need to go get more properties is what I'm saying.

MR. BLEGEN: I appreciate that.

THE COURT: I think what we have got we can deal with here.

MR. BLEGEN: Can I ask a question --

THE COURT: I do think you have new information in here that's not --

MR. BLEGEN: I discussed with the government before you came back out on the bench that these things are not even sworn to by anyone, these new things. I would like to see the evidence behind these, what I would call allegations outside of the complaint. I can't really comment on them until I see that.

And if I could ask a question, one of the thoughts -- the government has offered to give some early discovery in exchange for waiving the preliminary hearing. That's not

unusual, they do it in cases all the time.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. BLEGEN: And I often take that offer. But if the weight of the evidence here is of big concern to the court, then I would suggest that we have a preliminary hearing and whoever testifies for the government can be cross-examined about allegations in the complaint and hopefully these other allegations, because I think, as I said last time, that I don't find the evidence to be particularly strong even on the face of the complaint and I think a preliminary hearing might illuminate the fact that it's not particularly strong.

THE COURT: I think that's really a tactical decision you have to make, though, because there is definitely -- I mean, there are the search warrants, the applications for the search warrants. There might be other telephone calls that you don't know about that I don't know about. I don't know any of that.

MR. BLEGEN: I understand.

THE COURT: So it would seem to me that if we take enough time and you sit down with the government, that at least as to the specifics of what's in this motion, I notice that some of the things -- some of the facts in this motion are not contained in the complaint.

MR. BLEGEN: Correct, yes.

THE COURT: So you can do your due diligence. I'm just

1 saying to you how much time would you like. 2 MR. BLEGEN: Judge, can I suggest --3 THE COURT: And Mr. Rana, I know nobody wants to be in 4 jail, but it's important that your lawyers have all the 5 information they need, sir, in order to have a meaningful 6 hearing. So some things are more important to take time on. 7 MR. BLEGEN: Can I suggest that we come back Wednesday or 8 Thursday of next week, Judge? 9 THE COURT: Oh, actually -- it either has to be Tuesday 10 afternoon or the next Monday. I'm teaching at Georgetown for 11 the rest of the week. I'm sorry I won't be here. 12 MR. BLEGEN: Then Tuesday afternoon, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Tuesday afternoon. Open all afternoon. 14 (Pause) 15 THE COURT: Why don't we do 2:00 then a week -- is that 16 a week from today? 17 THE CLERK: Yes. 18 MR. BLEGEN: And Judge --19 THE COURT: Mr. Blegen, I want to say to you on the conditions at the MCC, I don't know -- I actually don't -- I 20 21 don't think that is -- I think that's premature at the moment 22 as a reason to not give bond because we don't even know if Mr. 23 Rana has been given his materials. I'm certainly thinking 24 about that, but I don't think I need any more briefing on --25 MR. BLEGEN: That's fine.

THE COURT: -- that's a factor on whether to give bond. That doesn't mean that if I were to detain Mr. Rana that I might not look into other things that could be done at the MCC. I want you to know that, okay? Or since we have five other jails we send people to, I mean, I'm not a hundred percent sure here, okay?

MR. BLEGEN: That's fine. I think that would be the appropriate time to take it up is after a bond hearing.

THE COURT: After if I enter an order of detention, then we will figure out what are the due process ramifications of conditions at the MCC because I think we're mixing apples and oranges right now. We all have enough on our plate. Okay. So if anybody is going to file anything, okay, you have until 5:00 on Friday or I'm not taking it for next Tuesday, okay?

MR. BLEGEN: Fine.

THE COURT: So and I know the two of you are having what we call in the civil area meet and confers, so please have some meet and confers on topics here, and government, anything you could do to help on -- if Dr. Rana hasn't talked to his family, I mean, I don't know why they couldn't arrange a phone call.

MR. BLEGEN: He has had one phone call since he has been there.

THE COURT: Frankly, I don't, I don't understand that.

I looked at some orders -- I'll go back and look at some

	orders, but if your office has any what other kinds of			
	offenses do they do this to? There aren't that many offense			
	brought under this statute. What are the other offenses when			
	people have to go to the 11th floor?			
	MS. PETERS: As I understand it, Judge, cases involving			
	people who are charged with child pornography, that sort of			
	thing.			
	THE COURT: They're on the 11th floor?			
	MS. PETERS: They can be.			
	THE COURT: Okay.			
	MS. PETERS: They can be considered a target of other			
	inmates.			
	THE COURT: All right. Okay. So anything that you want			
	to file, Friday by 5:00, okay? Thank you.			
	MR. BLEGEN: Thank you, Judge.			
	* * *			
	I certify that the above was transcribed from			
	digital recording to the best of my ability.			
	/s/ Lois A. LaCorte			
	Lois A. LaCorte Date			
İ	Official Court Reporter			
1				