## FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

CONTACT:
BOB BENNETT
BOB BENNETT & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM
(713) 225-6000
(713) 225-6001 FAX
BBENNETT@BENNETTLAWFIRM.COM
www.bennettlawfirm.com

## DOCTOR WRONGFULLY ACCUSED

HOUSTON, TX. April 30, 2012 -

Dr. Tony Ha, a Bellaire chiropractor, has now experienced every medical or legal professional's worst nightmare - a visit from Harris County Assistant District Attorney Wendy Baker and her Barratry Task Force. To make matters worse, Baker and her task force seized confidential patient records.

Add to the ordeal that Dr. Ha voluntarily surrendered himself to Lonnie Blevins, Baker's investigator on the task force, so that he could be processed in a prompt and professional manner. He appeared at 8:30 with an understanding that by voluntarily presenting himself, he would be processed in short order. Instead, he was designated by Blevins to be released with the last group of detainee's being released for the day, at 4 a.m. the following morning. His initial court appearance was a mere 5 hours later, at 9:00 a.m.

Ha hired Houston attorney Bob Bennett to protect his liberty, practice and license. Bennett spoke to Blevins the previous week, and questioned why Ha was even involved in this barratry investigation. Blevins responded that he wasn't sure how or if Dr. Ha was involved in barratry, but despite being unsure of Ha's role, the District Attorney's Office proceeded to not only charge him with a crime, but with a crime that he was never alleged to have committed.

Says Bennett: "It is very disconcerting to defend a doctor in a matter, being prepared to defend against probable cause, and then the charge is read and your client has been charged with 'Falsely Holding Oneself out as a Lawyer' when you believe your client is there to face another charge". Bennett continues that the District Attorney's Office was so confused and disorganized, that upon trying to prove that probable cause exited, The prosecutor Wendy Baker cited a string of facts completely unrelated to the charges presented, and at one point the Judge had to refocus Ms. Baker's attention back to the name of the Defendant. Baker then admitted that a mistake had been made, and those charges were ultimately dismissed.

Not to be deterred, Baker refilled barratry charges against Ha a few days later. The initial appearance in that case was scheduled earlier this morning.

Ha maintains that he has done nothing wrong, and as Bennett notes, the underlying complainant in this case is a lawyer named Marcela Halmagean who "went undercover" to try to catch a lawyer or a doctor doing something unethical or illegal. Halmagean presented at the doctor's office in need of medical care, or so Dr. Ha thought. Instead, the attorney, along with Blevins, surreptitiously recorded their visit, using both video and audio means. The main complaint seems to be that while filling out intake paperwork. Halmagean was presented with an intake form from a lawyer, namely State Representative Ronald Reynolds. After seeing the doctor, Halmagean determined that a copy of her paperwork was at Ron Reynolds' office the following day, and she turned over all the information to Wendy Baker. Based on the information Halmagean provided, an affidavit was prepared in support of a search warrant, and a search warrant was issued and served on Dr. Ha's office, resulting in the seizure of confidential patient files, computers and assorted other property from the office. The extent and detail of items seized is not known at this time because despite repeated requests for copies of documents that prosecutors are by law required to provide, Baker continues to even acknowledge the requests or follow the mandated procedures. When a warrant is obtained, the affidavit in support of the warrant is required to be maintained in the magistrate's file, and the officer's executing the warrant are obligated under the law to provide a detailed list of items seized during the execution of the warrant. On April 25, 2012, the affidavit supporting the search warrant and the inventory of the items seized during the search were not in the magistrate's file as required by law.

Wendy Baker's dreams must have come true the minute she thought she snared a sitting State Representative in her little sting. Reynolds maintains that he is innocent of these charges, and will vigorously defend his honor and reputation.

Another party charged is Adriene Anderson, a local woman who has been an investigator for nearly 20 years, and has had a business supporting legal and medical professionals in their marketing during that time. Anderson contends that there is nothing improper about the way her marketing firm operates, adding that her company structure, marketing methods and telephone scripts have been either drafted or reviewed by a prominent law firm, and that all particulars of her marketing programs are within the bounds of the law.

All parties are charged with Barratry, a third degree felony under the Texas Penal Code. In essence, barratry is engaging in unlawful solicitation of potential clients, with the intent to obtain an economic benefit, when the potential client has not first contacted the professional, or has not requested contract by the professional. The barratry statutes have been heatedly debated over the years, and parts of the current barratry law are still considered unconstitutional.

Bennett says that he is confident that when all the facts are known, Ha and the other Defendant's will be found not to be in violation of any laws, and they will be vindicated.

Bob Bennett & Associates is a Houston based law firm that maintains a statewide practice that specializes in the area of Professional Discipline Defense for doctors, lawyers and judges, and Professional Licensing Issues before the respective Medical and Law Examiner Boards. Bennett has been engaged in this specialized area for more than 15 years, and has enjoyed overwhelming success in the defense of his clients. For more information, contact Bob Bennett at (713) 225-6000. See client and attorney recommendations at <a href="https://www.avvo.com">www.avvo.com</a>.

### END ###

, [	REPORTER'S RECORD
2	337TH DISTRICT COURT CAUSE NO. 1344962
3	
4	THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
5	*
6	* HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
7	*
8	TON THANH HA * 337TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
9	
10	
11	PROBABLE CAUSE HEARING
12	
1.3	
1.4	
1.5	On the 24th day of April, 2012, the following
16	anaccedings came on to be held in the above-titled and
17	numbered cause before the Honorable Herb Ritchie, Judge
18	Proceeding, held in Houston, Harris County, Texas.
19	Proceedings reported by computerized stenotype
20	machine.
21	
	1
22	
23	
24	ĺ, ∘

1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:	
4	MS. WENDY BAKER, Assistant District Attorney SBOT No. 24013864	
5	District Attorney's Office 1201 Franklin	
6	Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: 713-755-5800	
7	1616p	
8	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	ĺ
10	BOB BENNETT & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Mr. Robert S. "Bob" Bennett, Attorney at Law	
11	SBOT No. 02150500 515 Louisiana Street, Suite 200	
12	Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: 713-225-6000	
13		
14		
1.5		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	1	

04/29/2012 23:06

(In open court, defendant present.) 1 THE COURT: We're on the record in Cause 2 No. 1344962, styled The State of Texas versus Ton Thanh 3 4 Ha. Let the record reflect that the defendant 5 is before the Court with his attorney, Mr. Bob Bennett. 6 The State of Texas is represented by Ms. Baker -- Wendy 7 Baker? 8 MS. BAKER: Yes, sir. 9 I thought it was Wendy. THE COURT: 10 All right. At this point, I'm going to 11 ask Mr. Ha if you would come up and stand with your 12 13 attorney. I'm going to ask the State of Texas 14 through Ms. Baker to present probable cause to the Court; 1.5 and while the State is doing this, setting up probable 16 cause as to why they contend you should continue to 1.7 answer the charges, I'm going to ask that you not say 18 anything. Don't make any statements. Just listen. And 1.9 if I find that the State has shown probable cause, I'm 20 going to give you your statutory legal warnings. And 21. after that, I'm going to ask that you step over to my 22 left, to the clerk, and acknowledge receipt of those 23 statutory warnings in writing; and you're going to be 24 given a date to come back to court. 25

KTRKTV

1.8

So, Ms. Baker, if you would be kind enough at this point to present probable cause.

KTRKTV

MS. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.

Our complainant in this case is a lawyer. She was involved in a motor vehicle accident.

She received a solicitation from a female named Sally, by telephone. Sally offered to find a doctor for the complainant. The complainant told Sally that she was not injured, but she didn't have any money for a doctor.

Sally said, Don't worry. Don't say that you're not injured or you won't get any money from your accident. I can find a doctor for you. I will set up an appointment, and I will get a rental car.

This lawyer pretended to be indigent.

Said, I don't know how I'm going to get there, things
like that. She recorded the telephone call and brought
it to our office.

When she arrived at our office, she spoke with my investigator, Lonnie Blevins. Blevins went with the complainant to Dr. Ha's office, which is located in Harris County, Texas. He impersonated her husband. He wore audio and video recording equipment. When they entered the clinic, the complainant stated she was there for her appointment.

1,0

1.7

	She was given a clipboard, and the first
	piece of paper on the clipboard was not a medical
	information form; it was an attorney/client contract a
	contract with a lawyer named Ronald Reynolds. The
	contract lists that he will get 33 and a third percent of
	any and all settlements from the car accident. She went
	ahead and filled out the paper.
	She saw Dr. Ha. This is on audio and
	video. Dr. Ha asked her, Where are you hurt?
	She says, I'm not hurt.
	He kept saying, I'm a doctor. Let me see
10	where you're hurt.
	So, he pushes on her until she says, Ouch.
	That hurts. You just hurt me.
	He tells her that she should come back
	three times a week for the next four weeks.
	She then tries to contact the lawyer the
	next day. She calls the law office. They confirmed that
	they have received her paperwork.
	We call the law office, and they tell us
	they won't tell us the address of where it is. We go
	talk to the lawyer.
	Let me back up.
	First, we run a search warrant on Dr. Ha's
	office a few days later; find files in Dr. Ha's office,

```
including the complainant's file which contained the
1
    attorney/client contract. The attorney/client contract
2
    had been faxed to Mr. Reynolds.
3
                   We then went to Mr. Reynolds' office and
4
    spoke with Mr. Reynolds. He admits that he has contracts
5
    with Dr. Ha's office and that he receives them several
6
    times a day from Adrian Anderson, these completed
 7
    attorney/client contracts.
 8
                    An employee of Dr. Ha said that she faxes
 9
    the contracts to a girl named Ashley and gave us a fax
10
    number that came back to a sister of Adrian Anderson.
11.
                    THE COURT: The complaint says Ton Thanh
12
     Ha.
1.3
                    MS. BAKER: Excuse me, Judge?
14
                    THE COURT: The complaint said Ton Thanh
1.5
     Ha held himself out as a lawyer.
16
                    MS. BAKER: Well -- yep. They filed as it
17
     falsely holding out as a lawyer, in Intake.
                                                   It should
18
     have been filed as barratry. He did not hold himself out
19
     as a lawyer. So, that's a problem.
20
                    I can either rearrest Dr. Ha and he can
21
     post a new bond or we can refile it correctly.
22
                    THE COURT: Well, at this point the Court
23
     finds no probable cause.
24
                    MS. BAKER: Okay.
25
```

```
MR. BENNETT: Judge, could we then go to
1
    my motion, please?
2
                   THE COURT: The Court's got no probable
3
    cause.
4
                   MR. BENNETT: I still can't get my things
5
    back. And so, if we could -- could I get an indication
6
    from the Court as to our motion?
7
                   THE COURT: I think a dismissal will be
8
    coming from the State until it's refiled.
9
                   MS. BAKER: It will be refiled.
1.0
                    MR. BENNETT: I just -- for the record,
11
    Your Honor, I'd like to present my motion for return of
12
     the items. I understand the Court's ruling. We would
13
     like the Court to rule on that. There's an order there.
14
                    We've had problems in the past. I've
15
     represented other lawyers and doctors. They've held
16
     personal telephones. They've held computers for months
17
     on end. And we want to start from the very beginning of
18
     making a record that they're refusing to return things
19
     that were improperly taken.
20
                    THE COURT: When do you anticipate you
21
     will have your nolle?
22
                    MS. BAKER: Shortly.
23
                     THE COURT: Okay.
24
                                 I mean, the question becomes:
                     MS. BAKER:
25
```

```
Do I make Dr. Ha post a new bond or not? And I'd like to
1
    talk to Mr. Bennett about that.
2
                    THE COURT: Well, I can give you a few
3
    moments to visit about logistics; and then we can take up
 4
    the matter.
 5
                    MR. BENNETT: May we be excused, Your
 6
    Honor?
 7
                    THE COURT: You may be.
8
                    MR. BENNETT: Thank you.
 9
                    (Brief recess.)
10
                    MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, may I be
1.1
     excused? I think we've covered everything we have.
12
                    THE COURT: Yes, sir.
13
                    MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
                    (Proceedings concluded.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF HARRIS

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

], ],

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

1

2

I, Jill Hamby, Official Court Reporter in and for the 337th District Court of Harris, State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's Record in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.

I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

I further certify that the total cost for the preparation of this Reporter's Record is \$ 50.00 and was paid/will be paid by Harris County.

1.9

18

20

2 J.

22

23

24

/S/fill Hamby /
JILL HAMBY, CSR
Texas CSR 5814
Official Court Reporter
337th District Court
Harris County, Texas
1201 Franklin
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: 713-755-0847
Expiration: 12/31/2013

25

```
Jill Hamby, Official Court Reporter
1
                     337th District Court
                  1201 Franklin, 15th Floor
2
                     Houston, Texas 77002
                         713-755-0847
 3
 4
     BOB BENNETT & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
 5
    Mr. Robert S. "Bob" Bennett, Attorney at Law
     SBOT No. 02150500
 6
     515 Louisiana Street, Suite 200
     Houston, Texas 77002
7
    Telephone: 713-225-6000
8
     Date: April 24, 2012
 9
10
    Re: Cause No. 1344962, The State of Texas vs. Ton Thanh Ha
11
12
1.3
     REPORTER'S RECORD
     Transcription of the proceedings held on
14
     April 24, 2012,
15
16
     Total due: $50.00
17
18
19
     THANK YOU,
20
21
     Jill Hamby
22
23
24
25
```

KTRKTV