

## FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE August 4, 2010

## DEFENDERS OF TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE TO APPEAL FEDERAL RULING INVALIDATING PROPOSITION 8

SACRAMENTO - Andy Pugno, general counsel for ProtectMarriage.com, the official proponents of Proposition 8, released the following statement today in response to the ruling of U.S. District Court Chief Judge Vaughn Walker in the Perry v. Schwarzenegger case:

"Today's ruling is clearly a disappointment. The judge's invalidation of the votes of over seven million Californians violates binding legal precedent and short-circuits the democratic process. But this is not the end of our fight to uphold the will of the people for traditional marriage, as we now begin an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"It is disturbing that the trial court, in order to strike down Prop 8, has literally accused the majority of California voters of having ill and discriminatory intent when casting their votes for Prop 8.

"But the reality is that Prop 8 was simply about restoring

and strengthening the traditional definition of marriage as the unique relationship of a man and a woman, for the benefit of children, families and society.

"At trial we built a solid record to show that marriage has served as the foundation of the family and society as a whole, has universal functions and features attributable only to unions between a man and woman, has been defined in both law and language as a union between a man and a woman, and acts as the predominate relationship in which to create and support children.

"We are confident that the trial court record we built will help us ultimately prevail on appeal and reverse today's ruling.

"Reversing today's decision will also serve as a reminder that the role of the courts is to interpret and apply the law only as enacted by the people and their elected representatives, not to impose new social policies.

"And federal precedent is clear that there is no constitutional right to same-sex marriage. To prevail in the end, our opponents have a very difficult task of convincing the U.S. Supreme Court to abandon precedent and invent a new constitutional right."